

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/473,702	12/29/1999	EVẠN HOWARD LOTT	- 1341	
24987	7590 03/16/2004		EXAMINER	
MARCUS G THEODORE, PC 466 SOUTH 500 EAST			KALINOWSKI, ALEXANDER G	
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3626	

DATE MAILED: 03/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/473,702	LOTT, EVAN HOWARD			
Office Action Summary	Examiner "	Art Unit	A 4 /		
•	Alexander Kalinowski	3626	MW		
, The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ddress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realized to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	of (a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 December</u> 2a)  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)  This  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ice except for formal matters, pro		e merits is		
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 11-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 11-22 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  12. **The oath of the confidence of the confid	epted or b) objected to by the formula of the following of the held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing (s) is objected to the drawing of the dra	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	` '		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)  ) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Poly Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te	O-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/473,702

Art Unit: 3626

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 12-22 are presented for examination. Applicant filed an amendment on 12/30/2003 amending claims 12, 20 and 21. In light of Applicant's amendment, the Examiner withdraws the grounds of rejection of claims 12-22 based on 35 USC 112 and 35 USC 103. However, new grounds of rejection are established in the instant office action based on 35 USC 103 as set forth in detail below.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 12-22 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 12-13 and 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Garrett et al, Pat No. 5,325,291 and further in view of May, Jerrold, "A Hybrid system improves claims auditing at Blue Cross" and "Number of Uninsured Motorists Drivers Nose-Dives" (hereinafter Insure-Rite).

As to claim 12, Garrett and May disclose the claimed limitations as discussed previously in Paper No. 12.

Garrett and May do not explicitly disclose

data in the claimed databases do not have a cross indexing scheme;

sorting and matching the non-corresponding sequences of insurance, driver and vehicle databases to generate a working database.

However, Insure-Rite discloses sorting and matching non-corresponding sequences of insurance, driver and vehicle databases, where the data is not cross-indexed, to generate a working database (i.e. database compares state data on vehicle registrations and driver licenses with policy information provided each month by all Utah insurers)(page 1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to include sorting and matching non-corresponding sequences of insurance, driver and vehicle databases, where the data is not cross-indexed, to generate a working database as disclosed by Insure-Rite within Garrett and may for the motivation of reducing uninsured motorists on the highway (see page 1, first paragraph).

Claims 13, 15 and 16 have not been amended and are rejected for the reasons provided in Paper No. 8.

5. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Garrett,
May and Insure-Rite as applied to claim 12 above, and further in view of New York
State Department of Motor Vehicles.

Application/Control Number: 09/473,702

Art Unit: 3626

Claim 14 has not been amended and is rejected for the reasons set forth in Paper No. 8

6. Claims 17-18 and 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Garrett, May and Insure-Rite as applied to claim 12 above, and further in view of Johnston, Michelle Dally, "State targets Scofflaw Drivers Database to reveal Who is Insured" (hereinafter Johnston).

Claims 17-18 have not been amended and therefore are rejected for the same reasons provided in Paper No. 8.

Claims 20 and 21 have been amended in substantially the same fashion as claim 12 and are rejected for substantially the same reasons as claim 12 above.

7. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Garret, May and Insure-Rite as applied to claim 12 above, and further in view of Bosco, Pat. No. 5,191,522.

Claim 19 has not been amended and is therefore rejected for the same reasons provided in Paper No. 8.

Application/Control Number: 09/473,702 Page 5

Art Unit: 3626

8. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Garrett, May, and Insure-Rite as applied to claim 21 above, and further in view of Deppa et al., Pat. No. 5,732,198.

Claims 22 has not been amended and therefore is rejected for the same reasons provided in Paper No. 8.

#### Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Kalinowski, whose telephone number is (703) 305-2398. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 9:00 AM to 6:30 PM. In addition, the examiner can be reached on alternate Fridays.

Art Unit: 3626

If any attempt to reached the examiner by telephone is unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Thomas, can be reached on (703) 305-9588. The fax telephone number for this group is (703) 305-7687 (for official communications including After Final communications labeled "Box AF").

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal

Drive, Arlington, VA, 7th Floor, receptionist.

Alexander Kalinowski

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3626

3/8/2004